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Abstract: In this paper, we propose a combination of modern GPU-based methods that are able to generate high-quality, interactive real-time rendering for augmented and mixed reality applications. We also present a new approach to estimate surface reflection functions and materials from images using genetic algorithms.

1 Introduction

The fusion of real and virtual worlds is the foundation for a range of computer graphics applications: complex augmented and mixed reality, movie effects and the ability to advertise products not yet completed, such as houses still being built or prototypes of cars in real environments. To achieve a mixed reality feeling, complex lighting interaction between real and virtual objects has to be simulated in real time. Only if the user is not able to clearly distinguish between real and virtual objects, this aim is reached.

This paper presents a piped combination of current methods to generate high quality images in real time with real world lighting. New GPU-based techniques allow us to limit the needed processing power, thus making the system mobile and ready for further enhancements. We also describe a new approach to derive complex materials from the original scene radiance given by HDR images.

2 Previous Work

In (Debevec, 1998) "differential rendering" was presented in order to merge virtual objects with real scenes. By calculating the difference of two rendered images of the reconstructed virtual scene via a radiosity simulation, once with and once without the objects, the change that is introduced by placing the virtual objects into a scene is recovered. Combined with the rendered objects the difference can be added to a real image. In (Grosch, 2005) this method was extended to also handle reflective and refractive objects correctly. Illumination of the real environment is passed to the virtual object via irradiance maps from a light probe, captured as HDR images. In (Kautz et al., 2004), the authors discuss ways of filtering environment maps to create different types of irradiance maps. Spherical harmonics are used to extract the diffuse frequencies of the environment map, while hardware generated mipmaps are used to create glossy maps. A combination of the original and both filtered images yields the final reflection that is mapped onto the virtual object. In (King, 2005), the author proposes to use irradiance maps in conjunction with ambient occlusion, a statistical method that determines shadows under complete diffuse lighting conditions, without considering any light sources. These values can be used to attenuate colors from the irradiance maps to simulate self-shadowing under the assumption of distant global illumination.

3 Lighting reconstruction

3.1 Irradiance Mapping

The first important step to enable high quality rendering of real and virtual lights is the lighting recon-
struction phase, where real world lighting is captured to transfer effects from the real scene onto a virtual model. For instance, a light-switch could be toggled in the real environment, which should have an effect on the virtual objects, otherwise it will be clear rather soon that they are just an augmentation. For static configurations, the incident radiance is captured with a light probe. Dynamic scene lighting can be captured with a 180° fish eye lens. The acquisition should be done in HDR, otherwise lighting mapped for different materials will appear to have no contrast. Our simulation relies on image based lighting, a method that derives all information about the environment lighting from images. Usually, these images are cube- or sphere maps and can be used to simulate highly reflective or mirror-like surfaces. Unlike “simple” environment mapping, the idea of irradiance mapping is to use environment maps for a range of basic transfer functions. By filtering this map, incident light for glossy or diffuse surfaces can be simulated. Currently we use the spherical harmonic basis to simulate diffuse and low order glossy irradiance, because high frequencies are captured inadequately.

### 3.2 Ambient Occlusion

Using precomputed radiance transfer, more complex transfer functions can be simulated. Additionally to the irradiance map \( L \), the surface’s transfer function \( T \) is moved to frequency space, and by exploiting \( \int_{\Omega} L \cdot T \approx \sum_i L_i \cdot T_i \) (which is easily evaluable inside a shader), the integral of the rendering equation is approximated while retaining high rendering speed. The perhaps most obvious difference between local and global illumination are shadows, especially self-shadowing. Without, objects seem to have no detail in structure, but with self-shadowing, tiny structures become emphasized and add to the overall realism. Many of those details can be recovered with ambient occlusion. We use ambient occlusion as a substitute for the transfer function in PRT, because it can be calculated in real time also for non-rigid objects. Before the irradiance maps are applied to the objects surface, ambient occlusion is determined through a modified version of the method described in (Sattler et al., 2004). Real scenes contain much indirect lighting, so only using direct light sources as sampling positions is unrealistic. Hence, random sample positions are used to determine the ambient occlusion on the model. In table 1, we have measured the difference between the generated AO values of a 1000 sample reference model and the same model with a lower sample count. One can see that even for complex geometry, the error drops below 10% with 25 samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>EG Dragon Smooth</th>
<th>EG Dragon Normal</th>
<th>Buddha</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>21.6528%</td>
<td>16.6647%</td>
<td>24.1992%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>9.50936%</td>
<td>5.29504%</td>
<td>8.72107%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>7.32016%</td>
<td>2.94598%</td>
<td>6.15287%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.02114%</td>
<td>1.42048%</td>
<td>4.46811%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>3.33963%</td>
<td>2.80035%</td>
<td>3.65112%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Statistical deviation from converged AO, depending on smoothness of surface (compare column 1 and 2).

The relative difference with 5-sample-steps drops below 1% at 50 samples for most models. For high-polygon or rigid objects, we precompute and store the same information with its colors. In combination, the colors from the irradiance maps are attenuated with the ambient occlusion values on the surface. A result is shown in figure 1.

### 3.3 Shadows

One problem here is the fact that irradiance mapping does not address any positional information about light sources, whereas AO is not considering light sources at all. Without this information, casting shadows into the right direction will become difficult. In our simulation, we first extract possible direct light sources from images in a pre-process. While the direction can be taken directly from the irradiance map, the position is determined by intersecting its boundary points with the surrounding reconstructed scene model. The extracted positions are then used to project shadows onto geometry. Visually pleasing results were achieved with PCF and PCSS shadows, although the latter caused some performance hits. To determine the shadow’s intensity during runtime, we used the first coefficient of the spherical harmonic analysis of the surrounding lighting configuration. Because the first SH function is constant, the first coefficient will statistically provide information about the ambient brightness inside the scene. Thus, the inverse value (given that \( c_0 \) is normalized to \([0, 1]\))
can be used as shadow intensity. The brighter the ambient lighting is, the less intense the shadow will be and vice versa. The same value can be used to adjust the ambient occlusion values.

4 Differential Rendering

Differential rendering is a multi pass compositing technique that is feasible for augmenting images or videos with consistent illumination. It requires two lighting simulations, one with the real scene only and a second one with the additional virtual objects inserted. For real-time appliances the rendering should be hardware accelerated, therefore both before mentioned scenes are rendered into different textures using standard rasterization methods. Let \( L_{\text{orig}} \) be the original scene radiance given by the background image, \( L_{\text{with}} \) the rendered scene with virtual objects and \( L_{\text{without}} \) the rendering without them. Then the error in the rendered scene is \( \Delta L_{\text{err}} = L_{\text{without}} - L_{\text{orig}} \). As can be seen, the better geometry and material reconstruction are, the smaller the resulting error is. By subtracting the error from \( L_{\text{with}} \), the changes in illumination caused by inserting the virtual object then can be represented as \( L_{\text{final}} = L_{\text{orig}} + (L_{\text{with}} - L_{\text{without}}) \). Finally a window-sized, view-aligned quad is rendered with a special shader program, which combines all images according to this formula.

5 Material reconstruction

To accurately map virtual light or shadows onto real surfaces, their properties have to be known upfront. For instance, to simulate interaction between a virtual light and a real surface it has to be clear whether or not that surface is diffuse or mirror-like. If these properties are unknown, the differential rendering will produce wrong colors for shadows and lights (or other artifacts). In our simulation, an off-line process tries to analytically estimate material properties from camera images. This process is a modified implementation of (Gibson et al., 2001). Combined with real lights in the image, placeholders for unknown lights, so called virtual lights, are adapted to match the irradiance of the surface. Diffuse materials can then be estimated iteratively with a linear equation system. As soon as non-linear components are added to the surface BRDF, other solutions have to be found. The authors proposed minimizing a cost function with non-linear optimization for all unknown variables. Instead, we used genetic algorithms as a consistent substitute for all material functions.

5.1 Genetic Algorithms

A genetic algorithm is a particular class of evolutionary algorithms that is used for global search and optimization problems. Instead of calculating in a deterministic manner a result is evolved from a population of possible solutions. The main motivation for genetic algorithms as a substitute to approximate surface reflection functions in our implementation is that they require no knowledge of the problem-space. Therefore, one single implementation is sufficient to estimate unknown variables for all kinds of BRDF’s. We encode all variables in a simple vector, which simultaneously serves as a genome. To evaluate the fitness of a possible result, a cost function simply generates values \( i_\varepsilon \) for all visible pixels of the surface using the evolved genome. All lights, including virtual lights, are taken into the equation. All generated pixel values are then subtracted from the pixel values \( i_\varepsilon \) of the real surface in the photograph. The fitness \( q \in (0, \infty) \) of a genome can be calculated with "codeblock". In the unlikely case that the denominator equals zero, a perfect match (i.e. a perfect genome) has been found.

6 Results

An Intel P4/2.4 GHz PC equipped with an NVIDIA 6600 GT graphics board and 1 GB memory was used to conduct our tests, with the OpenSG (OpenSG, 2007) rendering system and the Avalon (IR, 2007) framework for application description. Test data was acquired with a Canon EOS 350D camera for scene backgrounds and the light probe. HDR photos were generated via Debevec’s HDRShop. The dragon model in figure 2 is rendered in a 1500 × 1000 pixel context with 8 × FSAA, a spherical harmonic analysis with 9 coefficients, a mixture of 25% diffuse and 75% specular HDR irradiance maps, static ambient occlusion and PCF shadows. The blending into the real image is performed via differential rendering and the final image is drawn at 9 FPS. Much higher frame rates (up to 60) are achieved for low-polygon models such as the Stanford bunny. For less complex models dynamic ambient occlusion can be enabled without major performance hits, though depending heavily on the sampling rate. The differential rendering automatically handles occlusions from real objects to virtual ones or vice versa, as shown in figure 3. To assure that light and shadows are transferred correctly onto real materials, the material reconstruction as described above is used to gather information about the surface the object is placed on.

Diffuse materials were reconstructed through the
Figure 2: The Stanford dragon model in the entrance hall.

Figure 3: Shadows and occlusion are handled via differential rendering and reconstructed geometry.

iterative method described above. We have tested a steady state genetic algorithm on a simulated Phong material to evaluate the quality of a reconstruction. 1000 surface samples were gathered to determine the parameters $\rho_d$, $\rho_s$, and $n$, with a population size of 100 genomes. The test results point out that linear parts of the equation $f(x, \theta, \gamma) = \rho_d + \frac{n^2 - 1}{2n} \rho_s \cos^n \gamma$ were evaluated with less deviation from the actual parameters than non-linear parts. While $\rho_d$ was evaluated correctly in most cases, i.e. no mutant or local minima, the deviations in $\rho_s$ and especially in $n$ were generally too high. It is still unclear whether a larger population or higher mutation rates will lead to better results. It should be noted that these test cases exclusively deal with known BRDF’s and do not contain any lighting information from an image whatsoever, neither virtual nor real lights. In the actual implementation, the process iteratively factors out virtual light sources. Ultimately, the calculation of the BRDF parameters that follows this estimation is replaced by the genetic algorithm.

7 Future improvements

The most urgent matter right now is to have a unified model for creating irradiance maps, because the currently used spherical harmonics for instance are unsuitable for high-frequency functions. Relating to actual reflection model parameters such as those of specular functions will then be much easier. Currently, Haar Wavelets show promising results, because the multi-resolution analysis allows to capture high frequencies with relatively few coefficients. Ambient occlusion as a placeholder for other surface functions is sufficient right now. However, special effects such as interreflections or caustics are currently not handled. A suitable and dynamic method comparable to LDPRT (Sloan et al., 2005) has to be included in the near future. Also, the current approach to extract light sources manually from sphere maps does set heavy boundaries to the dynamic usage. A stable real time approach to extract lights from HDR sphere maps such as in (Supan and Stuppacher, 2006) or (Korn et al., 2006) still has to be implemented.
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